



## THE SUNDAY UNION.

SUNDAY.....JUNE 30, 1889

ISSUED BY THE

SACRAMENTO PUBLISHERS COMPANY.

Office, Third Street, between J and K.

THE DAILY RECORD-UNION,

Published six days in each week, with Double

Sheet on Saturdays, and

THE SUNDAY UNION,

Published every Sunday morning, making a

spindled seven-day paper.

For one year.....\$6 00

For two months.....\$10 00

For three months.....\$15 00

Subscribers served by Carriers at FIFTEEN

CENTS per week. In all interior cities and towns

of the State, and in all principal Periodical

Dealers, Newsagents and Agents.

The SUNDAY UNION is served by Carriers at

Twenty-five cents per month.

THE WEEKLY UNION

is the cheapest and most desirable Home, News

and Literary Journal published on the Pacific

Coast. It is sent to every subscriber in the

WEEKLY UNION.

Terms for one year.....\$2 00

The WEEKLY UNION alone per year.....\$1 50

The WEEKLY UNION per year.....\$1 90

All these publications are sent either by Mail

or Express to agents or through Postmasters at

high charges prepaid. All Postmasters are agents.

The Best Advertising Mediums on the Pacific

Coast.

Entered at the Postoffice at Sacramento as

second-class matter.

The RECORD-UNION, SUNDAY UNION and

WEEKLY UNION are the only papers on the

Coast, outside of San Francisco, that receive

the full Associated Press dispatches from all

parts of the world. Outside of San Francisco,

they have no competitors either in influence or

home and general circulation throughout the

State.

Weather Forecasts for To-Day.

California—Fair, northwesterly winds; stat-

ionary, with a high pressure portion and

along the coast; warmer elsewhere.

Oregon and Washington—Fair in Southern

Oregon; light rains, followed by fair weather

elsewhere; southwesterly winds; warmer.

“YELLOW JACK” has hung out his sig-

nals in the South. Let sanitary science

clear the decks for action.

SOUTH CAROLINA is discussing the propo-

sition to adopt the Australian secret-ballot

system. Lo! the world moves.

The Prohibitionists of Pennsylvania

have ceased to rate the Republican party;

they now dolefully admit that “high

license did it.”

THE Mayor of New York the other day

voted an order for a drinking fountain

because it was to be located but two blocks

from another of the same kind. But sup-

pose the order had been for a drinking

salon?

BECAUSE a ton of goods can be carried

cheaper from Boston to San Francisco by

a British non-taxed line through British

territory, is that at reason why American

railways should be abandoned, or, as a

dernier resort, local traffic saddled with

their support wholly?

THE Cincinnati police have been ordered

to seize all toy pistols and other firearms

in the possession of children, and dealers

are forbidden to sell them to minors.

Good! Let the Trustees of Sacramento

enact a similar ordinance. It is as wise a

law as that which forbids indiscriminate

sale of poisons, or that which prohibits

sale of liquors to children.

BOSTON has developed a new idea, a

portion of Franklin Square having been

set apart as a play-ground for children,

where, within reason they can disport

themselves as they please. It is worthy of

imitation. Child life in cities is too

much cramped and confined, and pre-

vented from revels in the exuberance of

animal spirits that is an essential to

healthy growth and wholesome intel-

lectual development.

THE oration of Mr. Edward J. Phelps,

referred to in the dispatches of Phelps,

was a magnificent effort. It struck the

key note of American thought when it de-

clared that moral strength in the nation

without physical violence is valueless to

protect our citizens abroad, or command

respect for our flag in foreign atmospheres.

One or two more such splendid American

orations as that, Mr. Phelps, and you will

be as certain to receive the Democratic

nomination for the Presidency in 1892 as

you are living.

THE second and rapid growth of such

orders as “The Sons of America,” “Sons of

the Revolution,” “Order of Americans,”

etc., are the natural results of the main-

tenance in this country of the myriad of

clannish foreign organizations. We do not

believe in the necessity, nature or wisdom

of American societies, as a rule; but they

may be seriously considered whether they

are not compensating, in some degree, for

the multitudes of exclusive societies kept

afloat by our citizens of foreign birth. If

both classes of organizations could be ab-

andoned, and American citizenship become

the one and only fellowship, the better it

would be for American institutions and

the perpetuity of American ideas.

OUR friends in boondocks are respec-

tfully advised of a new enterprise. At

Pineville, Mo., a plodding cucumber-

grower cut his hand, and carelessly al-

lowed some of the juice of his cucumber

vines to get into the wound. An erup-

tion followed over the entire surface of

his body. Presently the pimples assumed

the form of young cucumbers, and in due

time grew to regular State Fair size. The

man soon resembled, says the St. Louis

Republic, a bunch of bananas. The thing

to do in boondocks is to go to the human

tilage business; plant on the real estate

agents and lot speculators, and build a few

more hotels to accommodate the immigration

certain to result; for if Missouri can

grow cucumbers in Pike county people,

what may be possible in our glorious cli-

mate, when the hides of our enterprising

boomers are summer fallen, or are

broken up and planted to citrus fruits?

THE Chicago Inter-Ocean says:

If a newspaper were well personified, we think it

would be in the female.

There is much of femininity in the manage-

ment of a newspaper. It is full of tact, of

conservatism, of a great newspaper, but it

is conservative. Courage is true, but it

is not the chief characteristic of a great

newspaper.

Corday, of Joan of Arc, of Maria Theresa,

of Elzabeth of England, and the heroines of

history.

If a newspaper were to be personified,

we think it would have to be in the mas-

culine gender. There is everything mas-

culine about the male.

It is full of the shrewdness of

business tact, the gallantry of the gen-

tleman and the chivalry of the high-

spirited man.

In its most radical types it

is conservative, but it is the conserva-

tion of conviction of duty tempered by

the humane instincts of the generous man.

It has not merely the courage of judicial

impulse, but the purposeful calm of judicial

courage; the resoluteness of Grant,

the energy of Sherman, the fixness of pur-

pose of Washington, the fearlessness of a

Putnam, and the discretion of a Lee.

## IS THERE NO REMEDY?

There ought to be some means of preventing the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning the publication of such obscene and revolting “stuff” as the San Francisco Examiner and some others of the press are daily printing. There is not in all the books and prints the statutes make it criminal to possess, or to send through the mails, or to offer for sale, anywhere near such filthy, demoralizing and erotic nastiness as appears in the columns of some of the papers of this State from day to day. The excuse that the matter is report of proceedings in Court is not sufficient, for the worst of the moral mischievousness with which the columns teem is in the form of interviews and recitals of matters not testified to in any tribunal. It is shameful to journalists and an outrage upon the public that such “stuff” as the Examiner last week published, for instance, concerning



## ALONG THE PACIFIC COAST.

Drunken Tramps App'y the Torch to the Town of Goshen.

## PREVALENCE OF SPURIOUS COIN.

San Rafael has a Racy Social Section—Fresno Paper Sued for Libel.

[SPECIAL DISPATCHES TO THE RECORD-UNION.]

## SAN FRANCISCO ITEMS.

The Johnston Fund—Fall Overboard and Drowned—Foothills—Etc.

SAN FRANCISCO, June 29th.—Louie Sloss has received altogether \$77,348 \$5 for the relief of the sufferers by the Johnston and Seattle disasters. Over \$35,000 has been sent to the Johnston fund and \$600 to Seattle. Mr. Sloss has \$4,700 still left. The Executive Committee will hold a meeting on Monday, when they will determine what shall be done with the balance.

AN EDITOR SKIPS.

W. W. Knobell, who is out on \$1,000 bail to await trial on a charge of mail robbery in connection with the *Enterprise* gang, is missing and has evidently left town. He was publishing a small sporting paper, but sold out a week ago, leaving many small creditors.

OFFICER BROWNS.

J. E. Hill, first officer of the night shift on the dredger of the San Francisco Dredge Company working in Oakland harbor, fell overboard at 6 o'clock Saturday morning and was drowned. The boat is at the Argus in Oakland. It is not known that he had friends on this coast. He was highly esteemed by the company.

GARROTTERS AT WORK.

Barney Kilpatrick, a bartender in the Europa Hotel, was found dead in his room this morning for a severe beating and on the back of the head. He claimed that he was struck with a club from behind by a gatorette who tried to rob him.

PLAYED THE WRONG HOSE.

George Harington was here this afternoon on a charge of felony assault. Harington was employed as a collector by Samm & Westphal, of Oakland, and has embezzled about \$6,000 of their money. He acknowledged his guilt to Detective Coffey. He lost the money in betting on horse races.

JUDGEMENT BY JUDGE SAWYER.

In the United States Circuit Court Judge Sawyer issued a decree in the case of the Laramie Land and Lumber Company of New York v. The Sierra Valley and Mountain Railroad Company. The decree entitles the New York corporation to a first mortgage on the railroad, which it is empowered to sell unless the company pays a debt of \$175,000, which is secured by all its property.

CHARITY UNREWARDED.

Joseph Longo, employed in the Englewood grocery, of Teutonia, was arrested as a thief, having been caught by his employer with stealing sums of money from the drawer, which will aggregate \$500. When the prisoner was first engaged by Mr. Longo he was entirely destitute, and was employed more through charity than any other.

SUCIDE OF A MOTHER.

Any Hollings, a native of England, 32 years of age, living on Bay street, the mother of several children, her husband having this evening she had taken poison, and before the physician arrived she was dead.

ACTIVITY IN REAL ESTATE.

Real estate during the first six months of the year has sold \$160,000,000, and embraced nearly 5,000 sales. This is the greatest activity in real estate since 1875.

CHINESE COMPETITION.

The fish cannery who have employed Chinese in their canneries are fearful now that the Celestials having mastered the business will in time for themselves and drive out of the business.

DISCOURSES—BREWERY MATTERS.

Mrs. Noble, wife of Supervisor Noble, has been granted a divorce from her husband for cruelty.

Robert Wieland, Secretary of the Wieland Wagon Company, denies the truth of the report that the brewery has been sold to an English syndicate, but there is a prospect that it will be sold.

SHOWING THE QUEEN.

The Coast Flooded with Counterfeit Money, Dollars and Half Dollars.

SACRAMENTO, June 29th.—The United States Secret Service agent, Hanes, says that the coast is still flooded with counterfeit coin, both gold and silver. The arrest of Stein and Wolf for the passing of counterfeit \$100 pieces is the best evidence on which Sullivan could be convicted, and an indictment of him, therefore, so the State's Attorney reasoned, would have been owing to the expense of his defense, to the fact that the evidence that could be presented against Mr. Sullivan.

THE CRONIN CASE.

Seven Men Are Indicted for the Murder—An Attorney's Opinion.

CHICAGO, June 29th.—The Grand Jury returned indictments for complicity in the Cronin murder against the following: Daniel Connelly, John Beeges, O'Leary, John W. W. Murphy, John Cooney and John Kunze.

None of the prisoners or suspects were represented in Court when the Cronin murder was tried.

It was conceded that the defense had not tried to prove that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.

It was also conceded that the killing was done in self-defense, and the defense of the State's Attorney was that the killing was done in self-defense.